

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/462,994                 | 01/14/2000      | UDO SCHWALKE         | P99.2666            | 5747             |  |
| 7                          | 7590 09/28/2004 |                      |                     | EXAMINER         |  |
| SCHIFF HARDIN & WHITE      |                 |                      |                     |                  |  |
| PATENT DEP<br>7100 SEARS T |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| CHICAGO, II                | 60606-6473      |                      |                     |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

| Application No. |              | Applicant(s)    |  |
|-----------------|--------------|-----------------|--|
|                 | 09/462,994   | SCHWALKE ET AL. |  |
|                 | Examiner     | Art Unit        |  |
|                 | Brook Kebede | 2823            |  |
|                 | 1            |                 |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>16 July 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.** 

| ٠. |             |      | iding or in the proper order.   |
|----|-------------|------|---|
| 2. |             |      | e brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the realed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).  |
| 3. |             |      | east one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a tement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).   |
| 4. |             |      | e brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page I line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).   |
| 5. | $\boxtimes$ | The  | brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).   |
| 6. |             | A s  | ingle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and   |
|    | (a)         |      | the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.   |
|    | (b)         |      | the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.  |
| 7. |             | The  | brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8))   |
| 8. | $\boxtimes$ | The  | e brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).   |
| 9. | $\boxtimes$ | Oth  | er (including any explanation in support of the above items):   |
|    |             | thei | brief does not address the final rejection that was mailed on November 14, 2003 at all. The appellants rather fashion rarguments based on the amendment filed on July 16, 2004 with the brief which does not comply with 37 CFR § 1.116 for 37 CFR § 1.114. |

George Fourson
Primary Examiner